THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/500,206

Applicant : SKAKUNOV et al

Filed : June 25, 2004

TC/A.U. : 1764

Examiner : V. MANOHARAN

Docket No.: 04331/HG

Customer No.: 01933

Confirm. No.: 9313

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

SIR:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed February 13, 2007 which is a 30-day restriction requirement.

The Office Action sets forth a restrictions requirement under 35 USC 121 between Group I (claim 1 drawn to a method); and Group II (claims 2-5 drawn to a plant).

Group I (drawn to a method) is provisionally elected with traverse.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Addressed to:
MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date noted below.

trorney: Herbert Goodman

Dated: March 8, 2007

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and the necessary petition for extension of time is not filed concurrently herewith, please consider this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not tendered by credit card payment, authorization to charge the extension fee, or any other fee required in connection with this Paper to Account No. 06-1378.

The restriction requirement is traversed on the ground that as a matter of law, the wrong criteria were applied. The restriction requirement refers to the practice set forth in MPEP \$806.05(e). The criteria set forth in MPEP \$806.05(e) is consistent with the rationale for the restriction requirement set forth in the large paragraph on page 2 of the Office Action. However, this criteria applies to regular United States applications, i.e. applications filed under 35 USC 111, and does not apply to the present application.

The present application is a United States national phase of an international application filed under 35 USC 371.

37 CFR 1.475 and 1.499 govern the practice involving unity of invention which is applied to the present United States national phase application (see MPEP §1893.03(d)).

It is respectfully submitted that the claims in the present application meet the unity of invention criteria which is applicable to the present application. This is consistent with the International Preliminary Examination Report which did not result in an objection based upon lack of unity.

Withdrawal of the restriction requirement and examination of all of the claims is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

MERBERT GOODMAN

Reg. No. 17,081

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C.

220 Fifth Ave., 16th floor New York, NY 10001-7708 Telephone: (212) 319-4900 Facsimile: (212) 319-5101

HG/lpv